

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,007	08/21/2003		Hiroshi Ochiai	36068	6221
116	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
PEARNE			VAN, QUANG T		
1801 EAST SUITE 120		REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108				3742	
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,007	OCHIAI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang T Van	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing (s) is obtained.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/645,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Yang (US 2004/0070345). Yang discloses a noise filter of a high frequency generator comprising a choke coil (204) connected between cathode terminal (256a) and a capacitor (258), and cooperating with said capacitor (258) to form an LC filter circuit, wherein said choke coil (204) includes first (204a) and second (204c) core type inductors having respectively bar-like high-frequency absorbing members (202a, 202b) located within windings thereof, and air-core inductor (204b) not having a highfrequency absorbing member and connected to said cathode terminal (256a); said first core type inductor(204a), said second core type inductor (204c) and said air-core inductor (204b) are connected in series. However, Yang does not disclose said first core type inductor and said second core type inductor are arranged via a gap having a width within 1mm to 6mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a first core type inductor and second core type inductor via a gap having a width within 1mm to 6mm. Doing so would provide a noise of a frequency band of 400MHz to 900MHz is attenuated.
- 3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 2004/0070345) in view of Tashiro (US 3,922,612). Yang discloses substantially all

Application/Control Number: 10/645,007

Art Unit: 3742

features of the claimed invention except frequency characteristics of said high-frequency absorbing members of said first and second core type inductors are different from each other. Tashiro discloses frequency characteristics of said high-frequency absorbing members of said first and second core type inductors are different from each other (col. 2, lines 48-58). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Yang frequency characteristics of said high-frequency absorbing members of said first and second core type inductors are different from each other as taught by Tashiro in order to improve the attenuation of noise of a frequency band of 500MHz to 700MHz.

- 4. Claims 6-7 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or suggest said insulating material is made of a silicon rubber based material as recited in claim 6; and said high-frequency absorbing members of said first and second core type inductors are fixed within said windings of the first and second core type inductors by fixing means made of a silicone rubber based adhesive as recited in claim 7.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues "Yang does not teach where an air-core inductor is connected to a cathode" recited in "REMARKS/ARGUMENTS" page 7, line 18. The Examiner disagrees. Yang discloses an air-core inductor (204b) not having a high-frequency

Art Unit: 3742

absorbing member and indirectly connected to a cathode terminal (256a) through a first core type inductor (204a). Yang's air-core (204b) is not directly connected, but indirectly connected to the cathode terminal (256a).

Applicant also argues that "Tashiro does not teach a choke core type inductor is formed with a high-density wound type choke coil and the other core type inductor is formed with a low-density wound type choke coil" recited in "REMARKS/ARGUMENTS" page 8, lines 15-17. Yang does disclose the second core (204c) type inductor being more turns of coil lengths than the first core type (204a) inductor. Therefore, it is inherent that the second core type inductor is formed with a high-density wound type choke coil than the first core type choke coil.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,007

Art Unit: 3742

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 703-306-9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV QV

November 29, 2004

Quang T Van

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 5